U.S. Patent Application 09/805,761 Inventors: Gill et al. Filed: March 13, 2001

### Exhibit A

Copy of Declaration of Dr. Ruiwen Zhang (originally filed in U.S. Application No. 09/487,023)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Airbill No. EV 543598770. in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below

Docket No.: VASG-P02-003

(PATENT)

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re Application of:

Gill et al

OCT 2 4 2005

Application No.: 09/487023

Group Art Unit: 1635

Filed: January 19, 2000

Examiner: McGarry, S.

For: METHOD AND COMPOSITION FOR

TREATMENT OF KAPOSI'S SARCOMA

MS After Final Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.132 of Ruiwen Zhang

Sir:

DR. Ruiwen Zhang, M.D., Ph.D., D.A.B.T., hereby declares and states as follows:

- I am Associate Professor of Pharmacology & Toxicology and Scientist of Comprehensive Cancer Center, Center for AIDS Research, Gene Therapy Center, Chemoprevention Center, and Center for Aging. I am also Director of Cancer Pharmacology Laboratory. I have worked on the development of cancer pharmacology and therapeutics for over 20 years. A copy of my CV is enclosed with this Declaration.
- I have read the Office Action issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on August 2. 5, 2004 in the above-identified patent application. I have also reviewed the references cited in the Office Action, including Uchida et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,150,092. I understand that the Examiner has rejected the pending claims as obvious in view of Uchida et al. in combination with various other references. From a scientific perspective, I do not believe that the Uchida et al. reference renders the claims of the present application obvious. Had I read the Uchida et al.

reference at the time that the present application was filed, January 19, 2000, I would not have been motivated to generate phosphorothicate (PS) modified forms of the VEGF antisense nucleic acids set forth in Uchida et al. I base this conclusion on reasons set forth below.

- 3. Phosphorothioate-modified antisense probes: First, I note that PS-modified antisense probes are designed for use in *in vivo* or cell-based applications of antisense technology. This is because PS-modified nucleic acids have improved resistance to nucleases found in cells. One would have no reason to make a PS-modified form of an antisense nucleic acid unless one intended to use the antisense construct to affect gene expression in cells. Therefore, a demonstration that an unmodified antisense probe is effective in a cell-free assay would not necessarily provoke one to make and test the PS-modified form in a cell-based assay. This is particularly true where, as with Uchida et al., there is a poor correlation between the results seen in the cell-free and cell-based assays.
- 4. Cell-free Assays: Uchida et al. describe experiments testing antisense probes for their effects on VEGF expression. The majority of the data presented by Uchida et al. were based on cell-free assays employing unmodified DNA antisense probes at a concentration of 0.4 micromolar (see, Tables 1-8 of Uchida et al. and col. 20, line 3). Tables 1 and 2 in particular show that dozens of unmodified antisense probes were effective in decreasing VEGF expression in the cell-free assay. In many instances VEGF expression was decreased by greater than 90%.
- 5. Cell-based Assays: Uchida et al. selected six probes that were highly effective in the cell-free assays and tested PS-modified forms of these probes in a cell-based assay. The effects of these probes on VEGF expression in cells are shown in Table 9. The amount of VEGF expression observed by Uchida et al. in the presence of the PS-modified probes was high, ranging from 54% to 70% of normal (59% to 82% when corrected for the baseline inhibition seen in the controls).

The cell-based assays of Uchida et al. were performed with PS-modified probes at the high concentration of 20 micromolar (Col. 25, line 30). The cell-free assays in Tables 1 and 2 were performed at a concentration of 0.4 micromolar. At a concentration of 20 micromolar, it is often difficult to discern whether an effect on gene expression is due to a specific antisense effect

or a generalized effect on cellular processes. Given the high probe concentration and the small observed effect on VEGF expression in the cell-based assays, I conclude that the antisense probes identified by Uchida et al. are not effective for inhibiting VEGF expression in cells. These data would not have motivated me to modify and test other probes disclosed by Uchida et al. for use in cells.

- 6. A Comparison of the Cell-free and Cell-based Assays: There is a poor correlation between the effectiveness of the unmodified probes in the cell-free assays and the PS-modified probes in the cell-based assays. For example, the unmodified probe A311 (SEQ ID NO:51) inhibited 96% of VEGF expression in the cell-free assay, but the PS-modified form of A311 inhibited only 22% to 28% of VEGF expression in the cell-based assay (at a 50-fold higher concentration). Of six probes that were effective in cell-free assays, all six showed only mild effect on VEGF expression in the cell-based assay. I conclude that there is no reason to expect that any of the probes that Uchida et al. identified in the cell-free assay would be likely to be effective as PS-modified forms.
- 7. I further state that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date: May 27, 2005

Signed:

Dr. Ruiwer Zhang, M.D., Ph.D., D.A.B.T.

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
Потиев.

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.